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## Motivation

3) Measurement association unknown

Associationfree filter

1. Nonlinear filter: Sample-based nonlinear Kalman filter
2. Combination:

Direct fusion of empirical estimates
3. Association-free filter:

Symmetrization of measurement equation

1) Nonlinear motion / measurement models

Nonlinear filter
2) Several estimates

Combination
Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

- All methods based on novel distance measure
- Comparison of densities
- Continuous / continuous
- Continuous / discrete
- Discrete / discrete
- Continuously differentiable

$$
\underline{G}\left(\underline{\eta}_{1}, \underline{\eta}_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial D\left(\underline{\eta}_{1}, \underline{\eta}_{2}\right)}{\partial \underline{\eta}_{2}}
$$



Distance measure

$$
D\left(\underline{\eta}_{1}, \underline{\eta}_{2}\right)
$$

- Discrete / discrete case:
- Invariant to permutations of points

$$
D\left(\underline{\eta}_{1}, \underline{\eta}_{2}\right)=D\left(P_{1}\left(\underline{\eta}_{1}\right), P_{2}\left(\underline{\eta}_{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { with permutations } \quad P_{1}(.), P_{2}(.)
$$

- Efficient closed-form calculation
- Uses generalized cumulative distributions for comparison


## Kalman Filt

## Application: Human Tracking (1)




Kinematic model: state dimension: 46

## Application: Human Tracking (2)

 S²KF-Based Tracking

## Nonlinear Filtering: Problem

- Given:
- Nonlinear measurement equation $\underline{y}=\underline{h}(\underline{x})+\underline{v}$
- Gaussian prior density for $\underline{x}: f_{p}(\underline{x})$
- Measurement noise $\underline{v}$ with Gaussian density: $f_{v}(\underline{v})$
- Specific measurement $\underline{\hat{y}}$
- Desired:
- Gaussian posterior density for $\underline{x}: f_{e}(\underline{x})$
- Complicated problem: Exact solution rarely possible
- Simplification:

Additional Gaussian assumption between state and measurement

Nonlinear Kalman Filter

## Analytic Nonlinear Kalman Filter

- Calculate joint density of $\underline{y}, \underline{z}$ by augmented measurement equation

$$
\left[\underline{y}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{z}
\end{array}\right]=[\underline{h}(\underline{x})+\underline{v}] \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{z}=\underline{x}\right.
$$



- Gaussian approximation cannot always be analytically calculated $\rightarrow$ Simplifications inevitable


## Sample-based Nonlinear Kalman Filter

- Use samples to approximate Gaussian prior and noise
- Samples can easily be propagated

- Remaining challenge: Suitable sample approximation
- Standard approximations: Random sampling, quadrature


## New Sampling Method: Idea

- Goal:
- Arbitrary number of samples
- Homogeneous coverage of given Gaussian density
- Systematic approximation by minimization of distance measure
- Challenge:
- Standard distance measures typically not suitable for comparing continuous / discrete densities
- Wasserstein distance suitable, but very complex (distance requires optimization itself)
- Here:
- Employ novel distance measure
- Use optimization method to minimize distance between given Gaussian and desired Dirac mixture
$\rightarrow$ Yields sample positions


## New Sampling Method: Results







Reference: [6], [7]

## Application: Crane Monitoring



## Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (1)

First prior Gaussian density, $L=20$


Prior Density 1

Second prior Gaussian density, $L=20$


Prior Density 2


Posterior Density

## Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (2)

- Goal: Direct Bayesian Fusion
- However, multiplication not well defined for Dirac mixtures
- For Dirac mixture: „Density" coded in distances and weights (when non-equally weighted)
- Both given densities are discrete
- In general: No joint support
- What we do not want:
- Reconstruct both continuous underlying densities
- Multiply the continuous densities
$\rightarrow$ Posterior continuous density
- Discretize posterior


## Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (3)



## Direct Fusion: Solution



Reconstruct density values at component locations (use k-nearest neighbors)

Minimize distance measure between $\bar{f}^{e}$ and $f^{e}$

## Direct Fusion: Results



Red: True densities (unknown to the filter)

Blue: Histogram of samples

## ciation-free Data Fusion

## Application: TrackSort (1)

- Sorting bulk material
- Belt sorter
- Use camera for tracking objects on belt
- Challenge: Many objects and high belt speed



## Application: TrackSort (2)



Reference: [9]

## Application: Beating Heart Surgery (1)

- Beating Heart Surgery
- coronary artery bypass
- Stopped heart
- use of heart lung machine
- additional risks for patient
- Beating heart
- more difficult for surgeon


Goal: Robot automatically compensates for heart motion

## Application: Beating Heart Surgery (2)


haptic interface

surgeon

## Application: Beating Heart Surgery (3)


stabilized

original

## Association-free Data Fusion: Problem

- Given:
- Prior estimates of $N$ objects $\mathcal{X}^{p}=\left\{\underline{x}_{1}^{p}, \underline{x}_{2}^{p}, \ldots, \underline{x}_{N}^{p}\right\}$
- Set of measurements $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}=\left\{\underline{\hat{y}}_{1}, \underline{\hat{y}}_{2}, \ldots, \underline{\hat{y}}_{N}\right\}$
- Association of measurements to objects is unknown: Taken care by unknown permutation $P$
- Measurement equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\hat{y}}_{P(1)} & =h_{1}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)+\underline{v}_{1} \\
\underline{\hat{y}}_{P(2)} & =h_{2}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)+\underline{v}_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
\hat{\underline{y}}_{P(N)} & =h_{N}\left(\underline{x}_{N}\right)+\underline{v}_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Desired:
- Posterior estimates of objects $\mathcal{X}^{e}=\left\{\underline{x}_{1}^{e}, \underline{x}_{2}^{e}, \ldots, \underline{x}_{N}^{e}\right\}$


## Association-free Data Fusion: Challenge

- Number of permutations: $N$ ! e.g. 10 ! $=3,628,800$
- Standard approaches
- Hard assignment
- Local nearest neighbors (simple)
- Global nearest neighbors (complex)
- Soft assignment
- Probabilistic matching (exponential grow over time)
- Here: no assignment at all

Association-free data fusion


Based on permutation-invariant distance measure

## Association-free Data Fusion: Solution

- Several fundamental approaches possible, e.g., integral design of filter
- Here: Transformation of measurement equation to get rid of unknown permutation (literature: SME)
- Idea:
- Consider set of given measurements

$$
\hat{\mathcal{Y}}=\left\{\underline{\hat{y}}_{1}, \underline{\hat{y}}_{2}, \ldots, \underline{\hat{y}}_{N}\right\}
$$

- Calculate predicted measurements based on $\mathcal{X}^{p}$

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{p}=\left\{\underline{y}_{1}^{p}, \underline{y}_{2}^{p}, \ldots, \underline{y}_{N}^{p}\right\}
$$

- Minimize distance measure (is permutation invariant)

$$
D\left(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{Y}^{p}\right)
$$

- Gradient vector gives new set of measurement equations without unknown permutation
- Apply standard filter to estimate object states


## Association-free Data Fusion: Result

Three moving objects, high noise


Association fully known


Association unknown

## Conclusions

Three hot topics:

1. Nonlinear filter:

Sample-based nonlinear Kalman filter
2. Combination:

Direct fusion of empirical estimates
3. Association-free filter: Symmetrization of measurement equation

All methods based on:
Novel distance measure for continuous / discrete densities

- permutation invariant
- continuously differentiable
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## The End
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