

## Sensor-Actuator-Systems





## 33 Barbar Cale and Barbar

#### **Hot Topics in Multisensor Data Fusion**

**Uwe D. Hanebeck** 

Intelligent Sensor-Actuator-Systems Laboratory (ISAS) Institute for Anthropomatics and Robotics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany



http://isas.uka.de



#### **Karlsruhe in Germany**





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

3

SVS

#### **Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)**



North Campus (formerly Research Center Karlsruhe, founded in 1956)

- Merged in October 2009
- 9,500 Staff
- 25,000 Students
- Budget 850 Mio. Euro



South Campus (formerly Universität Karlsruhe (TH), founded in 1825)



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

#### **Motivation**

3) Measurement association unknown

> Associationfree filter

- 1. Nonlinear filter: Sample-based nonlinear Kalman filter
- 2. Combination: Direct fusion of empirical estimates
- 3. Association-free filter: Symmetrization of measurement equation

1) Nonlinear motion / measurement models

> Nonlinear filter

Combination

5

2) Several estimates

#### **Foundation: Distance Measure**

- All methods based on novel distance measure
- Comparison of densities
  - Continuous / continuous
  - Continuous / discrete
  - Discrete / discrete
- Continuously differentiable

$$\underline{G}(\underline{\eta}_1,\underline{\eta}_2) = \frac{\partial D(\underline{\eta}_1,\underline{\eta}_2)}{\partial \underline{\eta}_2}$$

- Discrete / discrete case:
  - Invariant to permutations of points

 $D(\underline{\eta}_1, \underline{\eta}_2) = D(P_1(\underline{\eta}_1), P_2(\underline{\eta}_2))$  with permutations  $P_1(.), P_2(.)$ 

- Efficient closed-form calculation
- Uses generalized cumulative distributions for comparison



Reference: [1]

6

# Sample-based Nonlinear Kalman Filtering





#### **Application: Human Tracking (1)**





Kinematic model: state dimension: 46 Reference: [2], [3]



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

#### **Application: Human Tracking (2)**

#### **Measurements (with Occlusions)**

#### S<sup>2</sup>KF-Based Tracking

9





#### **Nonlinear Filtering: Problem**

- Given:
  - Nonlinear measurement equation  $y = \underline{h}(\underline{x}) + \underline{v}$
  - Gaussian prior density for  $\underline{x}$  :  $f_p(\underline{x})$
  - Measurement noise  $\underline{v}$  with Gaussian density:  $f_v(\underline{v})$
  - Specific measurement  $\hat{\underline{y}}$
- Desired:
  - Gaussian posterior density for  $\underline{x}$  :  $f_e(\underline{x})$
- Complicated problem: Exact solution rarely possible
- Simplification:

Additional Gaussian assumption between state and measurement

Nonlinear Kalman Filter

Our Matlab Toolbox available. See reference [4]

10



#### **Analytic Nonlinear Kalman Filter**

• Calculate joint density of  $\underline{y}, \underline{z}$  by augmented measurement equation  $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{y} \\ \underline{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{h}(\underline{x}) + \underline{v} \\ x \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \underline{z} = \underline{x}$ 



Gaussian approximation cannot always be analytically calculated → Simplifications inevitable



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [5]

#### **Sample-based Nonlinear Kalman Filter**

- Use samples to approximate Gaussian prior and noise
- Samples can easily be propagated



- Remaining challenge: Suitable sample approximation
- Standard approximations: Random sampling, quadrature Reference: [3]



#### **New Sampling Method: Idea**

#### Goal:

- Arbitrary number of samples
- Homogeneous coverage of given Gaussian density
- Systematic approximation by minimization of distance measure
- Challenge:
  - Standard distance measures typically not suitable for comparing continuous / discrete densities
  - Wasserstein distance suitable, but very complex (distance requires optimization itself)
- Here:
  - Employ novel distance measure
  - Use optimization method to minimize distance between given Gaussian and desired Dirac mixture

 $\rightarrow$  Yields sample positions



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [6], [7]

#### **New Sampling Method: Results**



# Direct Fusion of Two Empirical Estimates



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

#### **Application: Crane Monitoring**



#### **Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (1)**



#### **Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (2)**

#### Goal: Direct Bayesian Fusion

- However, multiplication not well defined for Dirac mixtures
- For Dirac mixture: "Density" coded in distances and weights (when non-equally weighted)
- Both given densities are discrete
- In general: No joint support
- What we do not want:
  - Reconstruct both continuous underlying densities
  - Multiply the continuous densities
     → Posterior continuous density
  - Discretize posterior



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [8]

#### **Direct Fusion: Problem Formulation (3)**





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [8]

#### **Direct Fusion: Solution**



Reconstruct density values at component locations (use k-nearest neighbors)

Minimize distance measure between  $\bar{f}^e$  and  $f^e$ 

Reference: [8]

20



#### **Direct Fusion: Results**



Red: True densities (unknown to the filter)

Blue: Histogram of samples



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [8]

## Association-free Data Fusion



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015



#### **Application: TrackSort (1)**

Fraunhofer

23

- Sorting bulk material
- Belt sorter
- Use camera for tracking objects on belt
- Challenge: Many objects and high belt speed





#### **Application: TrackSort (2)**





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [9]

#### **Application: Beating Heart Surgery (1)**

- Beating Heart Surgery
  - coronary artery bypass
- Stopped heart
  - use of heart lung machine
  - additional risks for patient
- Beating heart
  - more difficult for surgeon



Reference: [10]-[12]

25

Goal: Robot automatically compensates for heart motion



#### **Application: Beating Heart Surgery (2)**





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [10]-[12]

#### **Application: Beating Heart Surgery (3)**



#### stabilized





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [10]-[12]

#### **Association-free Data Fusion: Problem**

- Given:
  - Prior estimates of N objects  $\mathcal{X}^p = \{\underline{x}_1^p, \underline{x}_2^p, \dots, \underline{x}_N^p\}$
  - Set of measurements  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \{ \underline{\hat{y}}_1, \underline{\hat{y}}_2, \dots, \underline{\hat{y}}_N \}$
  - Association of measurements to objects is unknown: Taken care by unknown permutation P
  - Measurement equations

$$\underline{\hat{y}}_{P(1)} = h_1(\underline{x}_1) + \underline{v}_1$$
$$\underline{\hat{y}}_{P(2)} = h_2(\underline{x}_2) + \underline{v}_2$$

$$\underline{\hat{y}}_{P(N)} = h_N(\underline{x}_N) + \underline{v}_N$$

- Desired:
  - Posterior estimates of objects  $\mathcal{X}^e = \{\underline{x}_1^e, \underline{x}_2^e, \dots, \underline{x}_N^e\}$



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [13]

#### **Association-free Data Fusion: Challenge**

- Number of permutations: N! e.g. 10! = 3,628,800
- Standard approaches
  - Hard assignment
    - Local nearest neighbors (simple)
    - Global nearest neighbors (complex)
  - Soft assignment
    - Probabilistic matching (exponential grow over time)
- Here: no assignment at all

Association-free data fusion

Based on permutation-invariant distance measure



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

Reference: [13]

#### **Association-free Data Fusion: Solution**

- Several fundamental approaches possible, e.g., integral design of filter
- Here: Transformation of measurement equation to get rid of unknown permutation (literature: SME)
- Idea:
  - Consider set of given measurements

$$\hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \{ \underline{\hat{y}}_1, \underline{\hat{y}}_2, \dots, \underline{\hat{y}}_N \}$$

- Calculate predicted measurements based on  $\mathcal{X}^p$ 

$$\mathcal{Y}^p = \{\underline{y}_1^p, \underline{y}_2^p, \dots, \underline{y}_N^p\}$$

- Minimize distance measure (is permutation invariant)  $D(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{Y}^p)$
- Gradient vector gives new set of measurement equations without unknown permutation
- Apply standard filter to estimate object states



Reference: [13]

#### **Association-free Data Fusion: Result**

Three moving objects, high noise



Association fully known

#### Association unknown



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

31

Reference: [13]

#### Conclusions

Three hot topics:

- 1. Nonlinear filter: Sample-based nonlinear Kalman filter
- 2. Combination: Direct fusion of empirical estimates
- 3. Association-free filter: Symmetrization of measurement equation

All methods based on:

Novel distance measure for continuous / discrete densities

- permutation invariant
- continuously differentiable



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

# Thank You for Your Attention ! Sensor-Actuator-Systems





#### **The End**





Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015

34

S

#### References

- [1] Uwe D. Hanebeck and Vesa Klumpp,
  - Localized Cumulative Distributions and a Multivariate Generalization of the Cramér-von Mises Distance

Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Integration for Intelligent Systems (MFI 2008), August 2008.

- [2] Jannik Steinbring, Christian Mandery, Nikolaus Vahrenkamp, Tamim Asfour, and Uwe D. Hanebeck High-Accuracy Real-Time Whole-Body Human Motion Tracking Based on Constrained Nonlinear Kalman Filtering (submitted) also available as arXiv preprint: Systems and Control (cs.SY), November 2015
- Jannik Steinbring and Uwe D. Hanebeck
  LRKF Revisited: The Smart Sampling Kalman Filter (S2KF) Journal of Advances in Information Fusion, 9(2):106 - 123, December 2014.
- [4] Jannik Steinbring
  - Nonlinear Estimation Toolbox

Available at: https://bitbucket.org/nonlinearestimation/toolbox

- [5] Marco F. Huber, Frederik Beutler, and Uwe D. Hanebeck Semi-Analytic Gaussian Assumed Density Filter Proceedings of the 2011 American Control Conference (ACC 2011), June 2011.
- [6] Uwe D. Hanebeck, Marco F. Huber, and Vesa Klumpp Dirac Mixture Approximation of Multivariate Gaussian Densities Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC 2009), December 2009.
- [7] Igor Gilitschenski, Jannik Steinbring, Uwe D. Hanebeck, and Miroslav Simandl Deterministic Dirac Mixture Approximation of Gaussian Mixtures Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Information Fusion (Fusion 2014), July 2014.
- [8] Uwe D. Hanebeck

#### Bayesian Fusion of Empirical Distributions Based on Local Density Reconstruction

Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Information Integration (MFI 2015), September 2015.

- [9] Florian Pfaff, Marcus Baum, Benjamin Noack, Uwe D. Hanebeck, Robin Gruna, Thomas Längle, and Jürgen Beyerer TrackSort: Predictive Tracking for Sorting Uncooperative Bulk Materials Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Information Integration (MFI 2015), September 2015.
- [10] Gerhard Kurz, Marcus Baum, and Uwe D. Hanebeck
- Real-time Kernel-based Multiple Target Tracking for Robotic Beating Heart Surgery Proceedings of the Eighth IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM 2014), June 2014.
- [11] Gerhard Kurz, Geneviève Foley, Péter Hegedüs, Gábor Szabó, and Uwe D. Hanebeck
  Evaluation of Image Stabilization Methods in Robotic Beating Heart Surgery
  13. Jahrestagung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Computer- und Roboterassistierte Chirurgie (CURAC14), September 2014.
- 13. Janrestagung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Computer- und Roboterassistierte Chirurgie (CURAC14), September 2014. (12) Video Ecotago [0:00-0:17] and Imagos: da Vinci Surgical System Intuitivo Surgical Inc. (http://www.intuitivo
- [12] Video Footage [0:00-0:17] and Images: da Vinci Surgical System, Intuitive Surgical, Inc. (<u>http://www.intuitivesurgical.com/</u>) [13] Uwe D. Hanebeck and Marcus Baum

#### Association-Free Direct Filtering of Multi-Target Random Finite Sets with Set Distance Measures

Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Information Fusion (Fusion 2015), July 2015.



Uwe D. Hanebeck @ Sensors 2015